

AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

May an adrenal incidentaloma change its nature?

This is the author's manuscript

Original Citation:

Availability:

This version is available <http://hdl.handle.net/2318/1742717> since 2021-02-01T11:36:00Z

Published version:

DOI:10.1007/s40618-020-01219-3

Terms of use:

Open Access

Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license. Use of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or publisher) if not exempted from copyright protection by the applicable law.

(Article begins on next page)

May an adrenal incidentaloma change its nature?

1 **Alessandra Müller¹, Elisa Ingargiola¹, Federica Solitro², Enrico Bollito³, Soraya Puglisi^{1*},**
2 **Massimo Terzolo¹, Anna Pia^{1**}, Giuseppe Reimondo^{1**}.**

3 ****** have equally contributed as senior authors

4 ¹ *Internal Medicine, Department of Clinical and Biological Sciences, San Luigi Gonzaga Hospital, University of Turin,*
5 *Orbassano, Italy*

6 ² *Radiology, Department of Oncology, San Luigi Gonzaga Hospital, University of Turin, Orbassano, Italy*

7 ³ *Pathology, Department of Oncology, San Luigi Gonzaga Hospital, University of Turin, Orbassano, Italy*

8

9 *** Corresponding Author: Soraya Puglisi**

10 Internal Medicine, Department of Clinical and Biological Sciences, San Luigi Gonzaga Hospital,
11 Regione Gonzole 10, 10043 Orbassano, Italy; tel: +39 011 9026292, fax: +39 011 6705456

12 e-mail: sorayapuglisi@yahoo.it ORCID: 0000-0002-2883-6139

13

14 **Keywords:** incidentaloma, adrenal tumor, metastasis, PET, uptake, adrenalectomy, follow-up, lung
15 cancer.

16

17 **Author Contributions:** Clinical management of the patient: AP; Imaging review: FS; Pathological
18 review: EB; Drafting of the Manuscript: AM, EI; Critical Review of the Manuscript: SP, AP, GR,
19 MT; Supervision: AP, GR, MT.

20 **Abstract**

21 Up to 70% of adrenal masses detected in patients affected by extra-adrenal malignancy are metastatic
22 lesions. Therefore, detection of an adrenal mass in patients with active or previous malignancy
23 requires a careful differential diagnostic work-up. ^{18}F -Fluorodeoxyglucose-positron emission
24 tomography/computed tomography (^{18}F -FDG-PET/CT) is increasingly used to determine the
25 malignant potential of adrenal lesions.

26 We report the case of a 64-year-old man who had a single adrenal metastasis due to non-small-cell
27 lung carcinoma developing on a pre-existing benign adrenal lesion. This metastasis occurred in a
28 phase of perceived oncological remission and was detected thanks to ^{18}F -FDG-PET/CT showing a
29 focal adrenal uptake. Contrast-enhanced computed tomography (CT), performed as part of
30 oncological follow-up, and MRI with chemical shift sequences did not lead to the correct diagnosis.
31 The patient underwent laparoscopic adrenalectomy and the pathological evaluation confirmed a lung
32 carcinoma metastasis.

33 The present case highlights the peculiarity of the follow-up of adrenal masses in cancer patients and
34 the primary role of ^{18}F -FDG-PET/CT in the management of such patients.

35

36 **Background**

37 About 2% of all incidentally detected adrenal masses are of metastatic nature. This percentage rises
38 to 30-70% in patients affected by an extra-adrenal malignancy [1] . The adrenal gland represents
39 indeed a frequent site of metastasis, due to its rich sinusoidal vascularization. Lung cancer followed
40 by breast cancer and melanoma are most likely to spread to the adrenal gland [2] . Rare cases of
41 collision tumors, defined as the coexistence of two different tumors in an adrenal gland, such as an
42 adrenal adenoma and an adrenal cancer or a metastatic tumor, have been described [3].

43 Although no randomized study comparing imaging tests has been performed, non-contrast computed
44 tomography (CT) is generally considered as the first-line imaging test to make a differential
45 diagnosis between benign and malignant adrenal masses. Whenever the mass is considered of
46 indeterminate nature after non-contrast CT, second-line imaging tests, including CT with delayed
47 contrast media washout, chemical shift MRI, and ¹⁸F-FDG-PET/CT, are needed to define the
48 diagnosis [4]. Once that an adrenal incidentaloma is considered to be a benign lesion after an
49 appropriate work-up, it is not recommended to pursue a specific follow-up with repeated imaging
50 studies [4]. The recommendation is based on the very low chance that a benign adrenal lesion may
51 turn in a malignant one during follow-up [5].

52 We report here in a case that represents an exception to this general rule and underlines the
53 challenges that may arise in the diagnosis of adrenal metastases.

54

55 **Case presentation**

56 The patient is a 64-year-old man, ex-smoker (10 cigarettes/day), with an occupational exposure to
57 silica dust and asbestos and a clinical history of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and arterial
58 hypertension. In 2008, a left adrenal nodule was occasionally detected and investigated with

59 endocrinological workup and non-contrast CT, which were suggestive for a benign, non-functioning,
60 adrenal adenoma. The lesion was of 30 mm in size with a density < 0 Hounsfield Units (HU) [Figure
61 1].

62 In August 2015, a squamous cell carcinoma of the upper lobe of the right lung with mediastinal
63 lymphadenopathy was diagnosed. The patient was treated with chemo- and radiotherapy with
64 complete disease response and subsequent negative radiological follow-up.

65 In September 2017, a follow-up total body contrast-enhanced CT scan showed multiple pulmonary
66 lesions and a slight enlargement of the known left adrenal nodule (37 mm diameter) [Figures 2a and
67 2b]. Hounsfield Units and morphological characteristics were not reported. Due to the suspect of
68 disease recurrence a ¹⁸F-FDG-PET/CT scan was performed and it showed a focal pathological uptake
69 in the left adrenal region without relevant uptakes in other sites (absolute SUV value 7.8,
70 adrenal/liver ratio 3.5) [Figure 2c], while the pulmonary lesions resolved after antibiotic therapy.

71 The patient was then referred to our outpatient unit. A hormonal workup was negative for
72 hypercortisolism, primary hyperaldosteronism and catecholamine excess. All the available CT scans
73 were re-evaluated by an expert radiologist, who confirmed the increase in size (7 mm) and described
74 the adrenal mass as inhomogeneous with faintly irregular borders. Due to the changed radiological
75 characteristics an adrenal magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) with chemical shift sequences was
76 performed but was not conclusive in the differential diagnosis between a lipid-poor adenoma and a
77 malignant lesion, showing incomplete, inhomogeneous signal intensity loss in out-of-phase
78 sequences [Figures 3a and 3b].

79 Following the pathological adrenal uptake at FDG-PET scan, not justified by an autonomous
80 hormone production, and the undetermined radiological characteristics at MRI the patient underwent
81 left laparoscopic adrenalectomy with an uneventful course. The pathological exam revealed that the
82 adrenal parenchyma was completely replaced by squamous carcinoma cells, with typical
83 adenomatous cells surrounding the central neoplastic core [Figures 4a and 4b].

85 **Discussion**

86 Whether most adrenal masses detected in patients affected by extra-adrenal malignancies are of
87 secondary nature, adrenal metastases are rarely found in non-oncological patients [1]. Therefore, a
88 history of known extra-adrenal malignancy requires a particular attention to the possibility of an
89 adrenal metastasis. A new adrenal lesion developing during oncological follow-up should also be
90 viewed as suspicious.

91 In clinical practice, the most commonly used imaging techniques to assess the risk of malignancy are:
92 non-contrast CT, MRI with chemical shift sequences, and ^{18}F -FDG-PET/CT. The recent
93 ESE/ENSAT guidelines on the management of adrenal incidentalomas recommended non-contrast
94 CT as the first radiological test [4]. Adrenal lesions that are homogeneous, smaller than 4 cm, with
95 density < 10 Hounsfield units (HU) are considered benign, lipid-rich adenomas. However, about 30%
96 of adrenal adenomas are lipid-poor and show an attenuation value > 10 HU that overlaps with
97 malignant lesions and pheochromocytomas [6-8]. The use of MRI with chemical shift sequences is
98 based on the typical loss of signal intensity shown by intracellular lipid-rich lesions in out-of-phase
99 sequences, while lipid-poor adenomas, malignant lesions and pheochromocytomas remain unchanged
100 [9-11]. In patients with history of extra-adrenal malignancy, the ESE/ENSAT guidelines suggest the
101 use of ^{18}F -FDG-PET/CT performed as part of oncological follow-up [4]. ^{18}F -FDG-PET/CT has the
102 advantage of being able to detect malignant adrenal lesions with a low rate of false negatives (mainly
103 metastases from tumors with low FDG-uptake, i.e. kidney cancer [12]) and a certain rate of false
104 positives (i.e., functional adenomas [13]) [14]. Routine use of ^{18}F -FDG-PET/CT in patients without
105 history or suspect of malignancy is currently not recommended, but a recent prospective study
106 showed that it has an excellent negative predictive value in the characterization of indeterminate
107 and/or large adrenal masses in non-cancer patients [15].

In our patient, the contrast-enhanced CT performed during the oncological follow-up reported only a slight enlargement of the known adrenal lesion and only the radiological revision, requested after the ^{18}F -FDG-PET/CT, showed changes of the lesion's features. The ^{18}F -FDG-PET/CT was done primarily for the suspect of pulmonary progression of disease but showed a single, focal uptake of the known adrenal lesion. Since the mass has been previously recognized as benign, further diagnostic tests were done. Hormone assessment was unrewarding, and MRI was still compatible with a lipid-poor adenoma. Our case represents a “real-life” demonstration of the limits of radiological and functional imaging in defining adrenal masses nature, especially in patients with known oncological history. These limits have been widely analyzed in a systematic review and meta-analysis [16] which laid the groundwork for the recommendations given by the ESE/ENSAT guidelines, especially when second-line imaging techniques are used in indeterminate adrenal masses. Despite the great potential of available radiological and functional imaging, there are not only difficulties related to the heterogeneity of both benign and malignant adrenal lesions and to the frequent overlap in imaging features [17], but also the expertise of the single radiologist and clinician influence the patient's diagnostic pathway in clinical practice.

In our patient, since radiological and functional imaging were not conclusive in defining the nature of the adrenal lesion's changes, a histopathological evaluation was considered essential. The multidisciplinary team discussion considered the adrenal biopsy a possible choice, but since we had a consistent suspicion of malignancy and the patient was in excellent clinical conditions with a prolonged disease-free interval following oncological treatment of his non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC), we decided to be proactive and recommended surgical removal of the adrenal lesion. Our choice was also supported by the expertise of our surgeon and the notion of a favorable outcome of patients who underwent removal of solitary adrenal metastases from different cancer types, including NSCLC.

Since the first description of adrenalectomy for isolated metastasis in 1982 [18], many retrospective series showed a potential benefit in survival in well-selected patients undergoing surgical treatment [19-26].

In recent years, there is growing evidence in support of use of laparoscopic approach in malignant adrenal lesions ensuring an adequate oncologic result, in addition to the advantages of mini-invasive surgery in terms of safety and post-operative recovery [27-30]. Therefore, laparoscopic adrenalectomy represents the first-choice surgical option in these patients.

Given the low probability that a benign adrenal lesion becomes malignant during follow-up [5], an active imaging surveillance of adrenal incidentalomas that are characterized to be benign is currently not recommended [4, 31]. However, oncological patients may represent a possible exception to the rule because neoplastic cells may be seeded in a pre-existing benign lesion. Whether the coexistence of a metastasis in an adrenal benign lesion is an incidental occurrence or represents the result of changes in the local environment that may favor hematogenous metastatization in an adrenal adenoma is not still clear. In 2014, Untch and colleagues [3] reviewed 11 histopathologically-proved adrenal collision tumors described in literature. In the last five years other 14 case reports of adrenal collision tumors have been published [3, 32-51]. The 25 cases are summarized in Table 1. In 18 cases, an adrenal adenoma was present. In 17 cases a malignant component was described, in 6 cases of primitive adrenal origin, in 11 cases of metastatic nature. 3 cases were lung cancer metastases, one small cell lung carcinoma (SCLC) and two NSCLC.

Conclusions

In conclusion, we have reported the development of a solitary adrenal metastasis on a pre-existing benign adrenal lesion in a patient with a NSCLC in apparent clinical remission. Although oncologists

and pneumologists, familiar with the way of metastatic spreading of lung carcinoma, are used to follow up patients with total body CT, this case report highlights the peculiarity of the follow-up of adrenal masses in cancer patients. While imaging follow-up of adrenal incidentalomas is seldom recommended [4, 5, 31] any adrenal lesion in a patient with known oncological disease should be carefully evaluated at any restaging, even if the mass has been previously labelled as benign. This is of utmost importance when a complete response of the primary cancer has been obtained after treatment, since the detection of new adrenal metastasis may change the management plan. In this clinical scenario, ¹⁸F-FDG-PET/CT represents a valid tool to guide clinicians in the decision-making process [52].

Conflict of Interest

On behalf of all authors, the corresponding author states that there is no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

1. Terzolo M, Stigliano A, Chiodini I, Loli P, Furlani L, Arnaldi G et al. (2011) AME position statement on adrenal incidentaloma Eur J Endocrinol 164:851-70.
2. Cingam SR, Karanchi H (2019) Cancer, Adrenal Metastasis. StatPearls Publishing
3. Untch BR, Shia J, Downey RJ, Carrasquillo JA, Panicek DM, Strong VE (2014) Imaging and management of a small cell lung cancer metastasis/adrenal adenoma collision tumor: a case report and review of the literature. World J Surg Oncol 12:45.
4. Fassnacht M, Arlt W, Bancos I, Dralle H, Newell-Price J, Sahdev A et al. (2016) Management of adrenal incidentalomas: European Society of Endocrinology Clinical Practice Guideline in collaboration with the European Network for the Study of Adrenal Tumors Eur J Endocrinol 175:G1-G34.
5. Elhassan YS, Alahdab F, Prete A, Delivanis DA, Khanna A, Prokop L et al. (2019) Natural History of Adrenal Incidentalomas With and Without Mild Autonomous Cortisol Excess: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis Ann Intern Med 171:107-116.

6. Caoili EM, Korobkin M, Francis IR, Cohan RH, Dunnick NR (2000) Delayed enhanced CT of lipid-poor adrenal adenomas *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 175:1411-5.
7. Peña CS, Boland GW, Hahn PF, Lee MJ, Mueller PR (2000) Characterization of indeterminate (lipid-poor) adrenal masses: use of washout characteristics at contrast-enhanced CT *Radiology* 217:798-802.
8. Zhang HM, Perrier ND, Grubbs EG, Sircar K, Ye ZX, Lee JE, et al. (2012) CT features and quantification of the characteristics of adrenocortical carcinomas on unenhanced and contrast-enhanced studies *Clin Radiol* 67:38-46.
9. Dunnick NR, Korobkin M (2002) Imaging of adrenal incidentalomas: current status *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 179:559-68.
10. Haider MA, Ghai S, Jhaveri K, Lockwood G (2004) Chemical shift MR imaging of hyperattenuating (>10 HU) adrenal masses: does it still have a role? *Radiology* 231:711-6.
11. Bharwani N, Rockall AG, Sahdev A, Gueorguiev M, Drake W, Grossman AB, et al. (2011) Adrenocortical carcinoma: the range of appearances on CT and MRI *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 196:W706-14.
12. Zukotynski K, Lewis A, O'Regan K, Jacene H, Sakellis C, Krajewski K, et al. (2012) PET/CT and renal pathology: a blind spot for radiologists? Part 1, primary pathology *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 199:W163-7.
13. Alencar GA, Fragoso MC, Yamaga LY, Lerario AM, Mendonca BB (2011) (18)F-FDG-PET/CT imaging of ACTH-independent macronodular adrenocortical hyperplasia (AIMAH) demonstrating increased (18)F-FDG uptake *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 96:3300-1.
14. Ansquer C, Scigliano S, Mirallié E, Taïeb D, Brunaud L, Sebag F, et al. (2010) 18F-FDG PET/CT in the characterization and surgical decision concerning adrenal masses: a prospective multicentre evaluation *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging* 37:1669-78.
15. Guerin C, Pattou F, Brunaud L, Lifante JC, Mirallié E, Haissaguerre M, et al. (2017) Performance of 18F-FDG PET/CT in the Characterization of Adrenal Masses in Noncancer Patients: A Prospective Study *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 102:2465-72.
16. Dinnes J, Bancos I, Ferrante di Ruffano L, Chortis V, Davenport C, Bayliss S, et al (2016) Imaging for the diagnosis of malignancy in incidentally discovered adrenal masses – a systematic review and meta-analysis *Eur J Endocrinol* 175: R51-64.
17. Albano D, Agnello F, Midiri F, Pecoraro G, Bruno A, Alongi P et al. (2019) Imaging features of adrenal masses. *Insights Imaging* 10:1.
18. Twomey P, Montgomery C, Clark O (1982) Successful treatment of adrenal metastases from large-cell carcinoma of the lung *JAMA* 248:581-3.
19. Vazquez BJ, Richards ML, Lohse CM, Thompson GB, Farley DR, Grant CS, et al. (2012) Adrenalectomy improves outcomes of selected patients with metastatic carcinoma *World J Surg* 36:1400-5.

20. Higashiyama M, Doi O, Kodama K, Yokouchi H, Imaoka S, Koyama H (1994) Surgical treatment of adrenal metastasis following pulmonary resection for lung cancer: comparison of adrenalectomy with palliative therapy *Int Surg* 79:124-9.
21. Kim SH, Brennan MF, Russo P, Burt ME, Coit DG (1998) The role of surgery in the treatment of clinically isolated adrenal metastasis *Cancer* 82:389-94.
22. Porte H, Siat J, Guibert B, Lepimpec-Barthes F, Jancovici R, Bernard A, et al. (2001) Resection of adrenal metastases from non-small cell lung cancer: a multicenter study *Ann Thorac Surg* 71:981-5.
23. Pfannschmidt J, Schlolaut B, Muley T, Hoffmann H, Dienemann H (2005) Adrenalectomy for solitary adrenal metastases from non-small cell lung cancer *Lung Cancer* 49:203-7.
24. Tanvetyanon T, Robinson LA, Schell MJ, Strong VE, Kapoor R, Coit DG, et al. (2008) Outcomes of adrenalectomy for isolated synchronous versus metachronous adrenal metastases in non-small-cell lung cancer: a systematic review and pooled analysis *J Clin Oncol* 26:1142-7.
25. Raz DJ, Lanuti M, Gaissert HC, Wright CD, Mathisen DJ, Wain JC (2011) Outcomes of patients with isolated adrenal metastasis from non-small cell lung carcinoma *Ann Thorac Surg* 92:1788-93.
26. Ramsingh J, O'Dwyer P, Watson C (2019) Survival outcomes following adrenalectomy for isolated metastases to the adrenal gland *Eur J Surg Oncol* 45:631-4.
27. Marangos IP, Kazaryan AM, Rosseland AR, Røsok BI, Carlsen HS, Kromann-Andersen B, et al (2009) Should we use laparoscopic adrenalectomy for metastases? Scandinavian multicenter study *J Surg Oncol* 100:43-7.
28. Moreno P, de la Quintana Basarrate A, Musholt TJ, Paunovic I, Puccini M, Vidal O, et al. (2013) Adrenalectomy for solid tumor metastases: results of a multicenter European study *Surgery* 154:1215-23.
29. Puccini M, Panicucci E, Candalise V, Ceccarelli C, Neri CM, Bucciante P, et al. (2017) The role of laparoscopic resection of metastases to adrenal glands *Gland Surg* 6:350-4.
30. Drake FT, Beninato T, Xiong MX, Shah NV, Kluijfhout WP, Feeney T, et al. (2019) Laparoscopic adrenalectomy for metastatic disease: Retrospective cohort with long-term, comprehensive follow-up *Surgery* 165:958-64.
31. Terzolo M, Reimondo G (2019) Insights on the Natural History of Adrenal Incidentalomas *Ann Intern Med* 171:135-136.
32. Pakalniskis MG, Ishigami K, Pakalniskis BL, Fujita N (2019). Adrenal collision tumour comprised of adrenocortical carcinoma and myelolipoma in a patient with congenital adrenal hyperplasia. *J Med Imaging Radiat Oncol*.
33. Foresti M, Parmiggiani A (2019) Adrenal Adenoma-Hemangioma Collision Tumor: Description of Two Cases. *J Radiol Case Rep* 13:1-12.
34. Khorsand A, Khatami F, Sefidbakht S, Saffar H, Sadeghipour A, Tavangar SM (2018) Adrenal Collision Tumor Composed of Pheochromocytoma and Diffuse Large B-Cell Lymphoma: A Case Report. *Int J Hematol Oncol Stem Cell Res* 12:249-252.

35. Lai Y, Zhou L, Hu J, Li W, Cui L, Lai Y, Ni L (2018) Erratum: Adrenal collision tumor (parachordoma and ganglioneuroma): A case report. *Mol Clin Oncol* 9:238.
36. Zhang CX, Tian Y (2018) Adrenal Collision Tumor Composed of Adrenocortical Adenoma and Pheochromocytoma. *Chin Med J (Engl)*. 131:374-375.
37. Liu D, Kumar SA (2017) An exceedingly rare adrenal collision tumor: adrenal adenoma-metastatic breast cancer-myelolipoma. *J Community Hosp Intern Med Perspect* 7:241-244.
38. Takizawa K, Kohashi K, Negishi T, Taguchi K, Yamada Y, Nakamura M, et al (2017) A exceptional collision tumor of primary adrenal angiosarcoma and non-functioning adrenocortical adenoma. *Pathol Res Pract* 213:702-705.
39. Lee HS, Choi YJ, Kim C, Kim BH (2016) Adrenal Collision Tumor: Coexistence of Pigmented Adrenal Cortical Oncocytoma and Ganglioneuroma. *Case Rep Surg* 5790645.
40. Piotrowski Z, Tomaszewski JJ, Hartman AL, Edwards K, Uzzo RG (2015) Renal cell carcinoma and an incidental adrenal lesion: adrenal collision tumors. *Urology* 85:e17-8.
41. Hayashi T, Gucer H, Mete O (2014) A mimic of sarcomatoid adrenal cortical carcinoma: epithelioid angiosarcoma occurring in adrenal cortical adenoma. *Endocr Pathol* 25:404-9.
42. Wang JI, Fisher C1, Thway K2 (2014) "Dominant" myelolipoma encasing adrenal cortical carcinoma: an unusual variation of myelolipoma occurring as a synchronous and predominant neoplasm *Int J Surg Pathol* 22:731-5.
43. Abdullazade I. S., Tezel G (2012) A rare case of collision tumor: coexistence of adrenocortical adenoma and pheochromocytoma in the same adrenal gland *Journal of Medical Cases* 3:63–67.
44. Siddiqi AJ, Miller FH, Kasuganti D, Nikolaidis P (2009) Adrenal hemangioma-adenoma: an exceedingly rare adrenal collision tumor. *J Magn Reson Imaging* 29:949-52.
45. Bertolini F, Rossi G, Fiocchi F, Giacometti M, Fontana A, Gibertini MC, et al. (2011) Primary adrenal gland carcinosarcoma associated with metastatic rectal cancer: a hitherto unreported collision tumor *Tumori* 97:27e–30e.
46. Thorin-Savoure A, Tissier-Rible F, Guignat L, Pellerin A, Bertagna X, Bertherat J, et al. (2005) Collision/composite tumors of the adrenal gland: A pitfall of scintigraphy imaging and hormone assays in the detection of adrenal metastasis *J Clin Endo Metabol* 90:4924–4929.
47. Hagspiel KD (2005) Manifestation of Hodgkin's lymphoma in an adrenal myelolipoma *Eur Radiol* 15:1757–1759.
48. Blake MA, Sweeney AT, Kalra MK, Maher MM (2004) Collision adrenal tumors on PET/CT *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 183:864–865.
49. Otal P, Escourrou G, Mazerolles C, Janne d'Othee B, Mezghani S, Musso S, et al. (1999) Imaging features of uncommon adrenal masses with histopathologic correlation *Radiographics* 19:569–581.
50. Schwartz LH, Macari M, Huvos AG, Panicek DM (1996) Collision tumors of the adrenal gland: demonstration and characterization at MR Imaging. *Radiology* 201:757–760.
51. Hoshi H, Jinnouchi S, Ono S, Kihara Y, Arakawa K, Takeuchi M, et al. (1984) Scintigraphic demonstration of coexisting adenoma and metastasis of the adrenal gland in a patient with bronchogenic carcinoma. *Clin Nucl Med* 9:717–718.

287 52. Kandathil A, Wong KK, Wale DJ, Zatelli MC, Maffione AM, Gross MD, et al. (2015) Metabolic and anatomic
288 characteristics of benign and malignant adrenal masses on positron emission tomography/computed tomography:
289 a review of literature Endocrine 49:6-26.
290